Delegated Decision Making Form (Property Delegations 232 - 251 only - Executive Officer Decisions)

REF NO 2107

Constitutional Services
Use Only

Decision Type	Officer
Department	Development
Subject	1a Dakeyne Street (Dakeyne Street ERDF scheme)
Decision	Subject to call-in: Yes
	Total value of decision: Approx
	Revenue or Capital: Revenue
Decision taken	To grant lease for a term of 25 years.
Exempt Decision	Yes - appendix only
Reason for exemption (including public interest reason)	The additional information sheet attached to this decision is exempt from publication under paragraph:
	3 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 because it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information) and, having regard to all the circumstances, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information because it would reveal commercially sensitive information.
Other options considered (with reasons for rejecting options not favoured)	None. The intention of the ERDF funded Dakeyne Street scheme was to create space to let to companies and bodies in the creative industry sector.
Reason for Decision	To let space to a company wishing to establish their new headquarters in the property.

Affected Wards

St Anns

Advice sought	•	Y	es N	lo l		
Add vioo obeigne	Legal	Ė				
	Finance	F				
	Human Resources	-	· .			
	Equality & Community Relations Team □ ⊠ Voluntary and Community Sector □ ⊠					
8:						
	Grants Team					
	Procurement	33] 🗵			
	Other (please specify)	. 🗆] 🗵			
		, ,				
	Y	es No	Name a	and Date		
Details of consultations	Portfolio Holder		, , , , , , ,			
undertaken	Ward Councillors					
diddi takoli	Area Committee					
	Other Council Bodies					
¥						
	Corp. Directors Affected					
4 9	Trades Unions		1.			
	Minority Group					
	Others (Specify)					
	Reasons for not consulting					
14	The intention of the scheme was to	create spa	ace for letting to	creative bodies and		
	companies.	<u> </u>				
	N/A		214			
Consultation outcomes						
Background to the			•			
	N/A			4		
decision		•		1, 2		
			·			
Declared interests	None					
			1			
		T man a				
Dispensation by	Date: N/A Dispensation					
	LISTA: N/A		Reference: N/A			
Standards Committee	Date: N/A		nce: N/A	and An		
	Date: N/A		nce: N/A			
	Has the equality impact of the d	Refere		1?		
Standards Committee		Refere		1?		
	Has the equality impact of the d	Refere	peen assessed	l? ⊠		
Standards Committee	Has the equality impact of the d	Refere	peen assessed	X		
Standards Committee Equalities	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessm	Refere	peen assessed			
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value	Has the equality impact of the d	Refere	peen assessed			
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value Implications	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment of the region of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment of the region of the divided NO – Not required NO – NO – Not required NO – N	Refere	ched chat relate to th	⊠ □ nis Decision.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment of the equality impact assessment of the equality impact as e	Refere	ched chat relate to th	⊠ □ nis Decision.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value implications	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment of the divided impact assessment of the di	Refere	ched chat relate to th	⊠ □ nis Decision.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder implications	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment of the equality impact assessment of the equality impact as equality impact as equality impact as equality in the equality impact as equality impact as equality impact as equality in the equality impact as equality im	Reference lecision lecision lecision lecisions to lecisio	ched chat relate to the	⊠ □ nis Decision. ate to this		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disorder Decision There are no Background Paper	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder Implications Background Papers	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment of the equality impact assessment of the equality impact as equality impact as equality impact as equality in the equality impact as equality impact as equality impact as equality in the equality impact as equality im	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder implications	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disorder Decision There are no Background Paper	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder Implications Background Papers	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disord Decision There are no Background Paper There are no Published Documents	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. ate to this relies upon.		
Standards Committee Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder Implications Background Papers	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disord Decision There are no Background Paper There are no Published Documents	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder implications Background Papers Published documents	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disord Decision There are no Background Paper There are no Published Documents	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder Implications Background Papers Published documents Uniform / Property Ref	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disord Decision There are no Background Paper There are no Published Documents	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder implications Background Papers Published documents	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value implied There are no Crime and Disord Decision There are no Background Paper There are no Published Documental Paper Individual	Refere	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		
Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder Implications Background Papers Published documents Uniform / Property Ref (if applicable)	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value Implied There are no Crime and Disorded Decision. There are no Background Paper There are no Published Document Make this Decision.	Reference lecision la ment attactions to the lecision la ment attactions to the lecision lecision lecision la ment attaction lecision leci	ched chat relate to the ations that relate to the ations that relate this Decision have been related.	is Decision. Interest to this relies upon. Ited upon to		
Equalities Social Value Implications Crime and Disorder Implications Background Papers Published documents Uniform / Property Ref	Has the equality impact of the divided NO – Not required YES – equality impact assessment There are no Social Value implied There are no Crime and Disord Decision There are no Background Paper There are no Published Documental Paper Individual	Reference lecision la ment attactions to the lecision la ment attactions to the lecision lecision lecision la ment attaction lecision leci	ched chat relate to the ations that relate this Decision	is Decision. In the to this relies upon.		

Scheme of Delegation Reference Number	237	
Head of Property Development	Phil Daniels	Date:
Signature	ffffeart C	27/7/15
Director of Asset management	Kevin Shutter	Date:
Signature	RISWIN	27/7/15

To be completed by Constitutional Services

Date published: 28 July 2015

Last date for Call-in: 4 August 2015

This page blank